

ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.
 United States Attorney
 ROBERT E. DUGDALE
 Assistant United States Attorney
 Chief, Criminal Division
 STEVEN R. WELK
 Assistant United States Attorney
 Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section
 JENNIFER M. RESNIK
 Assistant United States Attorney
 Asset Forfeiture Section
 (Cal. State Bar # 233634)
 1400 United States Courthouse
 312 North Spring Street
 Los Angeles, California 90012
 Telephone: (213) 894-6595
 Facsimile: (213) 894-7177
 E-mail: jennifer.resnik@usdoj.gov

JS-6

Attorneys for Plaintiff
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CV 09-09236-PA (FFMx)
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	<u>DEFAULT JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE</u>
\$22,833.00 IN U.S. CURRENCY,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	
_____)	
)	
ETINOSA OSAHON,)	
)	
Claimant.)	
)	
_____)	

On December 16, 2009, the government filed a Verified Complaint for Forfeiture ("Complaint") against the defendant \$22,833.00 in U.S. Currency (the "defendant funds") pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C).

1 On February 11, 2010, Etinosa Osahon ("Osahon") filed a
2 claim to the defendant funds.

3 On October 2, 2011, the government moved to strike Osahon's
4 claim and enter default judgment in favor of the government due
5 to Osahon's failure to participate in discovery.

6 On December 12, 2011, the Honorable Margaret M. Morrow
7 issued an order denying the government's Motion to Strike Claim
8 and Answer and Enter Default Judgment ("the Order"). The Order
9 directed Osahon to: 1) file responses to the government's
10 interrogatories with the Court within thirty (30) days of the
11 date of the Order; and 2) serve a copy of those interrogatory
12 responses on the government. The Order further warned Osahon
13 that default would be entered forthwith if Osahon failed to file
14 responses to the interrogatories.

15 On January 13, 2012, the government submitted the
16 Declaration of Jennifer M. Resnik, lodged contemporaneously with
17 this Default Judgment of Foreiture, indicating that the
18 government had not received any responses from Etinosa Osahon to
19 the Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories. No such responses
20 have been filed with the Court.

21 The Court having been duly advised of and having considered
22 the matter,

23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

24 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of
25 this action and over the parties.

26 2. The Complaint for Forfeiture states a claim for relief
27 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C).

28 3. Etinosa Osahon has failed to file responses to the

1 government's interrogatories per the Order.

2 4. Etinosa Osahon has failed to serve responses to the
3 government's interrogatories on the government per the Order.

4 5. A default judgment shall be and hereby is entered
5 against the interests of all potential claimants, including
6 Etinosa Osahon in the defendant funds.

7 6. The defendant funds shall be and hereby are forfeited
8 to the United States of America, which shall dispose of the
9 defendant funds in the manner required by law.

10 7. The Court finds there was reasonable cause for the
11 seizure of the defendant funds and this judgment shall be
12 construed as a certificate of reasonable cause under 28 U.S.C. §
13 2465.

14
15 Dated: February 8, 2012



16
17 THE HONORABLE PERCY ANDERSON
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

19 Presented By:

20 ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.
United States Attorney
21 ROBERT E. DUGDALE
Assistant United States Attorney
22 Chief, Criminal Division
STEVEN R. WELK
23 Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section
24

25
26 JENNIFER M. RESNIK
Assistant United States Attorney

27 Attorneys for Plaintiff
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
28